

CREDIT OPINION

10 November 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

Contacts

Kenneth Kurtz 415-274-1737
Senior Vice President
 kenneth.kurtz@moodys.com

Ted Hampton 212-553-2741
VP-Sr Credit Officer
 ted.hampton@moodys.com

State of New Mexico

New Issue - Moody's Assigns Aa2 to New Mexico 2016 Severance Tax Bonds; Outlook Negative


Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned Aa2 ratings to the State of New Mexico's (senior lien) Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2016D, and Taxable Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2016E, expected to be sold in the amounts of \$37 million and \$19 million, respectively. Following this issuance, the state will have \$778 million of senior-lien bonds outstanding, all rated Aa2. The state also has \$109 million subordinate-lien severance tax bonds outstanding, rated Aa3.

The ratings on the senior and subordinate lien bonds incorporate the inherent volatility of the pledged revenue stream, which consists primarily of taxes on the production of natural gas and oil in the state; a sharp reduction in debt service coverage resulting from the decline in oil and gas prices; and the expectation that coverage will not return to prior levels in the near term. The ratings also reflect a conservative debt structure that features rapid payout of outstanding bonds; requirements to maintain 12 months' debt service on deposit in the Bonding Fund, the fund in which pledged severance tax receipts are held; and recent enhancements to the additional bonds tests, particularly the use of the lesser of prior year actual and current year projected revenues as the base for the debt service coverage calculations.

Exhibit 1

Falling Tax Revenues Drive Drop in Coverage



Source: State of New Mexico; Moody's Investors Service

Credit Strengths

- » Payout is rapid—bonds are issued with a maximum 10-year maturity, and annual debt service declines steadily from fiscal year 2017 to final maturity in 2026.
- » Statutory provisions offset the absence of a reserve fund--the state must maintain 12 months' debt service requirements in the Bonding Fund before excess revenues can be transferred to the Permanent Fund or, via the issuance of short-term notes, utilized for capital spending.
- » The state has recently enhanced the additional bonds tests, in particular instituting the use of the lesser of prior year actual and current year projected revenues as the base for the debt service coverage calculations.
- » No operating claims compete for the pledged revenues—surplus revenues not needed for debt service on the bonds are transferred to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund or used for capital spending.

Credit Challenges

- » Coverage levels are low compared to comparably rated special tax bonds.
- » Pledged severance taxes are volatile due to dominance of oil and gas, and sensitivity to production levels and commodity prices.

Rating Outlook

The outlook on the severance tax bond ratings is negative, reflecting continued uncertainty about the trend of oil and gas prices, and their effect on severance tax revenues and coverage levels.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Structural changes that strengthen the program, such as an increase in the coverage requirements of the additional bonds tests.

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Deterioration in coverage as a result of further declines in severance tax revenues.
- » Debt policy changes that weaken the program, such as lengthening maturities.

Key Indicators

Exhibit 2

Debt Service Coverage Declined Significantly in 2016

New Mexico Severance Tax Bonds	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Current Coverage, Senior Lien Bonds (x)	3.95x	3.31x	4.28x	3.64x	2.18x
Current Coverage, Senior & Sub Lien Bonds (x)	3.19x	2.84x	3.81x	3.30x	1.99x
Nominal Pledged Revenue (Mil.)	\$480	\$424	\$541	\$500	\$310
Pledged Revenue Annual Change (%)	21.5%	-11.7%	27.2%	-7.7%	-38.0%
Senior Lien Additional Bonds Test (x)	2.00x	2.00x	2.00x	2.00x	2.02x
Subordinate Lien Additional Bonds Test (x)	1.60x	1.60x	1.60x	1.60x	1.62x

Note: Additional bonds tests will increase gradually to 2.10 times and 1.66 times in 2019; see report text.

Source: State of New Mexico; Moody's Investors Service

Recent Developments

Recent developments are incorporated in the Detailed Rating Considerations.

Detailed Rating Considerations

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Tax Base and Nature of Pledge

The senior and subordinate bonds are secured by a first and second lien, respectively, on severance taxes on deposit in and interest earnings of the Bonding Fund. Severance taxes consist of taxes on the extraction of minerals in the state, primarily oil and natural gas. In fiscal 2016, severance taxes on oil and natural gas accounted for 96.6% of total severance tax revenues. These taxes are separate from the "severance" taxes and mineral production royalties deposited in the state's General Fund.

New Mexico is the sixth-largest producer of crude oil in the nation, after Texas, North Dakota, California, Alaska, and Oklahoma. The majority of the state's oil is produced in the Permian Basin in the southeastern portion of the state. The volume of oil production increased rapidly after fiscal 2009, reaching a record level in fiscal 2016. Oil prices and the taxable value of oil produced peaked in fiscal 2014. The state is the seventh largest producer of natural gas in the nation, with the majority of the state's production in the San Juan Basin in the northwestern corner of the state. The volume of gas production has been in gradual decline since fiscal 2005. Gas prices and the taxable value of gas produced peaked in fiscal 2008.

Severance tax revenues are highly sensitive to the prices of oil and natural gas, the level of production, changes in production technology, and additions to and depletion of oil and gas reserves. The historic volatility of severance tax revenues was demonstrated by a dramatic 32.2% decline in fiscal 2010 and an 11.7% decline in fiscal 2013. Past large decreases have generally been followed by large increases. The recent decline in oil and gas prices has resulted in particularly large declines in pledged revenues--drops of 7.7% and 38.0% in fiscal years 2015 and 2016, and a projected drop of 5.2% in 2017. Pledged revenues are unlikely to return to fiscal 2014 levels in near term.

Debt Service Coverage and Revenue Metrics

As a result of the decline in severance tax revenues, current coverage has fallen from recent levels. Fiscal 2015 actual revenues provided 3.64 and 3.30 times coverage of 2015 debt service on the senior and subordinate bonds, respectively. Coverage fell to 2.18 and 1.99 times in fiscal 2016. Fiscal 2017 projected revenues are expected to provide 2.08 and 1.83 times coverage of 2017 debt service on the senior and subordinate bonds, respectively.

LIQUIDITY

The flow of severance tax revenues and the required balances in the Bonding Fund provide ample liquidity for the payment of principal and interest.

Debt and Legal Covenants

DEBT STRUCTURE

Following the issuance of the 2016 bonds, the state will have an estimated \$778 million senior lien bonds and \$102 million subordinate lien bonds, all fixed-rate.

The volatility of the pledged revenue stream is counterbalanced by strong debt management practices, particularly the state's practice of limiting the maturity of severance tax-backed bonds to 10 years. Payout is quite rapid, and annual debt service requirements decline steadily from fiscal 2017 through final maturity in 2026. As a result of this structure, severance taxes could fall significantly for multiple years without any shortfall in the amounts required for debt service.


Severance taxes are deposited upon receipt in the Bonding Fund. There is no debt service reserve for the bonds. However, state statutes require that on June 30 and December 31, all monies in the Bonding Fund in excess of the next 12 months' scheduled debt service be transferred to the state's Severance Tax Permanent Fund. The 12 months' debt service requirement in effect provides an ample reserve for the bonds, especially given the debt structure. Once revenues are transferred to the Permanent Fund, they are not available for debt service on the bonds.

The state recently enacted legislation enhancing the additional bonds tests for the severance tax bonds. Most significantly, base revenues for the tests was changed from the prior year actual to the lesser of prior year actual and current year projected. This limits the issuance of additional bonds in periods of significant revenue decline, such as fiscal 2016. In addition, the coverage requirement for senior lien bonds will increase gradually from 2.0 times to 2.10 in 2019, while the coverage requirement for senior and subordinate lien bonds combined will increase gradually from 1.60 times to 1.66.

In addition to the senior and subordinate bonds, the state also issues short-term (one- to five-day) notes secured by severance tax revenues. The notes are purchased by the State Treasurer. The purpose of these notes is to capture excess severance tax revenues that otherwise would be transferred to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund and redirect them to current capital needs. In effect, the state is able to use excess severance tax revenues for pay-go capital. The issuance of these notes does not reduce debt service coverage or weaken the security of the bonds, because it only reduces the amount of money that would otherwise be transferred to the Permanent Fund.

Exhibit 3

Bonds Retired Rapidly, Debt Service Declines Annually



Source: State of New Mexico.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

New Mexico has no derivatives related to its severance tax bonds. The New Mexico Department of Transportation has \$420 million in interest-rate swaps related to its variable rate transportation revenue bonds issued through the New Mexico Finance Authority. The swaps hedge interest rate risk, but add counterparty risk and make it difficult for the department to reduce its variable rate exposure.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

New Mexico's pension liabilities are below the 50-state medians. The state's pensions are primarily provided through the multiemployer Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA). Moody's adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the state for fiscal 2015 is \$5.9 billion. Its three-year average ANPL through 2015 equaled 68% of total governmental own-source revenues, below the 88% median for all US states. The state also reports a UAAL for other post-employment benefits (OPEB) of approximately \$3.4 billion. The state's fixed costs—including debt service, Moody's calculated "tread water" pension cost, and OPEB contribution—equal 6.7% of revenues, below the median of 8.5%. Pensions and OPEB are not major factors in the special tax methodology.

Management and Governance

While the state has many best governance practices including a consensus revenue forecasting process, multiyear revenue projections, and timely budget adoption, its financial reporting has had numerous weaknesses. Prior to fiscal 2013, the financial statements in New Mexico's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) were not audited. The statements were only "reviewed," which indicates a substantially lower standard of verification. In addition, the CAFRs have not been released on a timely basis. Prior to fiscal 2007, CAFRs were issued on average 19 months after the end of the fiscal year.

The state has made significant progress in improving its financial reporting. The fiscal year 2013 and 2014 CAFRs were audited, but these audits contained numerous findings related to cash reconciliations and consolidations. The state is committed to a number of initiatives to eliminate the findings and improve the timeliness of its CAFRs. The audited 2015 CAFR was released in early July 2016, 12 months after the end of the fiscal year, and the number of audit findings was substantially reduced. The 2015 audits reflected enough progress on cash reconciliation to allow the state to release the \$100 million special reserve it had established to cover any

adjustments necessary to resolve the cash discrepancies. Moody's expects that the state will make continued improvement in its financial reporting.

The state does provide timely, audited financial statements for its major agencies and for its statutory General Fund. The statutory General Fund, also known as the "Component Appropriation Accounts" or the "Component Appropriation Funds," is not exactly equivalent to the GAAP-basis General Fund shown in the CAFR. In particular, it excludes certain departmental revenue accounts that are included in the GAAP General Fund. Even so, the two representations of the General Fund have tracked very closely. These agency statements provide sufficient verified information to evaluate the state's financial performance, but the absence of timely, unqualified comprehensive statements remains a credit challenge.

Legal Security

The senior lien bonds (called "Severance Tax Bonds") and the subordinate lien bonds (called "Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds") are secured by a first and second lien, respectively, on severance taxes on deposit in and interest earnings of the Bonding Fund.

Use of Proceeds

Proceeds of the Series 2016D and Taxable Series 2016E bonds will fund general government capital projects.

Obligor Profile

New Mexico is the 36th-largest state by population, at 2.1 million. Its state gross domestic product, \$92.2 billion, is the 37th-largest. The state's wealth levels are below average, with per capita personal income equal to 78.9% of the US level and a poverty rate among the highest for US states.

Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Public Finance Special Tax Methodology published in January 2014. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

Ratings

Exhibit 4

New Mexico (State of)

Issue	Rating
Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2016D	Aa2
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$37,220,000
Expected Sale Date	11/15/2016
Rating Description	Special Tax: Non-Sales/ Non-Transportation
Taxable Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2016E	Aa2
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$19,950,000
Expected Sale Date	11/15/2016
Rating Description	Special Tax: Non-Sales/ Non-Transportation

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJJK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJJK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJJK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1049110